Contemporary Mathematics

arXiv:1111.6329v1 [math.QA] 28 Nov 2011

W-algebras at the critical level

Tomoyuki Arakawa

Abstract. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra, f a nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{g}$. We show that (1) the center of the W-algebra $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ associated $(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ at the critical level coincides with the Feigin-Frenkel center of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, (2) the centerless quotient $\mathcal{W}_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ corresponding to an ${}^{L}\mathfrak{g}$-oper χ on the disc is simple, and (3) the simple quotient $\mathcal{W}_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is a quantization of the jet scheme of the intersection of the Slodowy slice at f with the nilpotent cone of $\mathfrak{g}$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra, f a nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{g}$, $U(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ the finite W-algebra [**P1**] associated with $(\mathfrak{g}, f)$. In [**P2**] it was shown that the center of $U(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ coincides with the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ (Premet attributes the proof to Ginzburg).

Let $\mathcal{W}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ be the *(affine) W-algebra* [**FF3, KRW, KW**] associated with $(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ at level $k \in \mathbb{C}$. One may [**A3, DSK**] regard $\mathcal{W}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ as a one-parameter *chiralization* of $U(\mathfrak{g}, f)$. Hence it is natural to ask whether the analogous identity holds for the center $Z(\mathcal{W}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, f))$ of $\mathcal{W}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$, which is a commutative vertex subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$.

Let $V^{k}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal affine vertex algebra associated with $\mathfrak{g}$ at level k, $Z(V^{k}(\mathfrak{g}))$ the center of $V^{k}(\mathfrak{g})$. The embedding $Z(V^{k}(\mathfrak{g})) \hookrightarrow V^{k}(\mathfrak{g})$ induces the vertex algebra homomorphism

$$Z(V^{k}(\mathfrak{g})) \to Z(\mathcal{W}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, f))$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{C}$. However, both $Z(V^{k}(\mathfrak{g}))$ and $Z(\mathcal{W}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, f))$ are trivial unless k is the critical level

$$\mathrm{cri} := -h^{\vee},$$

where $h^{\vee}$ is the dual Coxeter number of $\mathfrak{g}$. Therefore the question one should ask is that whether the center $Z(\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f))$ of the W-algebra at the critical level coincides with the *Feigin-Frenkel center* [**FF4, F1**] $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) := Z(V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}))$, which can be naturally considered as the space of functions on the space of $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{{}^{L}\mathfrak{g}}$ of ${}^{L}\mathfrak{g}$-opers on the disc. Here ${}^{L}\mathfrak{g}$ is the Langlands dual Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14B69, 17B68, 17B67.

This work is partially supported by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) No. 20340007 and the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for challenging Exploratory Research No. 23654006.

©0000 (copyright holder)

Theorem 1.1. *The embedding* $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \hookrightarrow V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})$ *induces the isomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z(\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)).$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ *is free over* $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$*, where* $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ *is regarded as a commutative ring with the* (-1)*-product.*

Theorem 1.1 generalizes a result of Feigin and Frenkel [**FF4**], who proved that $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \cong \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f_{\mathrm{prin}})$ for a principal nilpotent element f_{prin} of $\mathfrak{g}$. It also generalizes a result of Frenkel and Gaitsgory [**FG**], who proved the freeness of $V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})$ over $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Let G be the adjoint group of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathbb{S}$ the Slodowy slice at f to $\operatorname{Ad} G.f$, $\mathcal{N}$ the nilpotent cone of $\mathfrak{g}$. Set

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{S} \cap \mathcal{N}.$$

It is known [**P1**] that the scheme $\mathcal{S}$ is reduced, irreducible, and normal complete intersection of dimension $\dim \mathcal{N} - \dim \operatorname{Ad} G.f$.

For $\chi \in \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{{}^L\mathfrak{g}}$, let $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cri}}_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ be the quotient of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ by the ideal generated by $z - \chi(z)$ with $z \in \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then any simple quotient of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cri}}_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ for some χ.

Theorem 1.2. *For* $\chi \in \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{{}^L\mathfrak{g}}$*, the vertex algebra* $\mathcal{W}_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ *is simple. Its associated graded vertex Poisson algebra* $\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{W}_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\infty}]$ *as vertex Poisson algebras, where* $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}$ *is the infinite jet scheme of* $\mathcal{S}$ *and* $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\infty}]$ *is equipped with the level* 0 *vertex Poisson algebra structure.*

Theorem 1.2 generalizes a result of Frenkel and Gaitsgory [**FG**], who proved the simplicity of the quotient of $V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})$ by the ideal generated by $z - \chi(z)$ for $z \in \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$.

In the case that $f = f_{\mathrm{prin}}$ we have $\mathcal{W}_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}, f_{\mathrm{prin}}) = \mathbb{C}$ [**FF4**], while $\mathcal{S}$ is a point, and so is $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}$. Theorem 1.2 implies that this is the only case that $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ admits finite-dimensional quotients.

In general little is known about the representations of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$. We have shown in [**A4**] that at least in type A the representation theory of $\mathcal{W}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is controlled by that of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ at level k for any $k \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore the Feigin-Frenkel conjecture (see [**AF**]) implies that, at least in type A, the character of irreducible highest weight representations of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ should be expressed in terms of Lusztig's periodic polynomial [**Lus**]. We plan to return to this in future work.

2. Associated graded vertex Poisson algebras

For a vertex algebra V, let $\{F^p V\}$ be the Li filtration [**Li**],

$$\operatorname{gr} V = \bigoplus_p F^p V / F^{p+1} V$$

the associated graded vertex Poisson algebra. The vertex Poisson algebra structure of $\operatorname{gr} V$ restricts to the Poisson algebra structure on *Zhu's Poisson algebra* [**Zhu**]

$$R_V := V / F^1 V \subset \operatorname{gr} V.$$

Moreover there is a surjective map

$$(R_V)_{\infty} \to \operatorname{gr} V \tag{1}$$

of vertex Poisson algebras [**Li, A5**]. Here $X_V = \operatorname{Spec} R_V$, $(R_V)_\infty = \mathbb{C}[(X_V)_\infty]$, where X_∞ denotes the infinite jet scheme of a scheme X of finite type, and $(R_V)_\infty$ is equipped with the level zero vertex Poisson algebra structure [**A5**, 2.3].

Let $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathbb{C}^r)$ be the *$\beta\gamma$-system* of rank r, that is, the vertex algebra generated by fields $a_1(z), \dots, a_r(z)$, $a_1^*(z), \dots, a_r^*(z)$, satisfying the following OPE's:

$$a_i(z)a_j(z)^* \sim \frac{\delta_{ij}}{z-w}, \quad a_i(z)a_j(z) \sim a_i^*(z)a_j^*(z) \sim 0.$$

It is straightforward to see that $R_{\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathbb{C}^r)} \cong \mathbb{C}[T^*\mathbb{C}^r]$ as Poisson algebras and that (1) gives the isomorphism

$$(R_{\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathbb{C}^r)})_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr} \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathbb{C}^r). \tag{2}$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$, f be as in Introduction, $\operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{g}$ the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$, $(\ |\ )$ the normalized invariant bilinear form of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{s} = \{e, h, f\}$ be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\mathfrak{g}_j = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}; [h, x] = 2jx\}$ so that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j. \tag{3}$$

Fix a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ such that $h \in \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0} := \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_j$. We will identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{g}^*$ via $(\ |\ )$.

The *Slodowy slice* to $\operatorname{Ad} G.f$ at f is by definition the affine subspace

$$\mathbb{S} = f + \mathfrak{g}^e$$

of $\mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{g}^e$ is the centralizer of e in $\mathfrak{g}$. It is known [**GG**] that the Kirillov-Kostant Poisson structure of $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{g}$ restricts to $\mathbb{S}$.

Let ℓ be an $\operatorname{ad}\mathfrak{h}$-stable Lagrangian subspace of $\mathfrak{g}_{1/2}$ with respect to the symplectic form $\mathfrak{g}_{1/2} \times \mathfrak{g}_{1/2} \to \mathbb{C}$, $(x, y) \mapsto (f|[x, y])$. Set

$$\mathfrak{m} = \ell \oplus \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}_j,$$

and let M be the unipotent subgroup of G whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{m}^\perp = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}; (x|y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathfrak{m}\}$. Then [**GG**] we have the isomorphism of affine varieties

$$M \times \mathbb{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} f + \mathfrak{m}^\perp, \quad (g, x) \mapsto \operatorname{Ad}(g)(x). \tag{4}$$

This induces the following isomorphism of jet schemes:

$$M_\infty \times \mathbb{S}_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} (f + \mathfrak{m}^\perp)_\infty. \tag{5}$$

Denote by I and I_∞ the defining ideals of $f + \mathfrak{m}^\perp$ and $(f + \mathfrak{m}^\perp)_\infty$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\infty$, respectively. By (4) and (5) we have

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{S}] \cong (\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]/I)^M, \quad \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{S}_\infty] \cong (\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_\infty]/I_\infty)^{M_\infty}.$$

Let

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}D$$

be the affine Kac-Moody algebra associated with $\mathfrak{g}$, where K is the central element and D is the degree operator. Set $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$, the derived algebra of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

The *universal affine vertex algebra* $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with $\mathfrak{g}$ at level $k \in \mathbb{C}$ is the induced $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K)} \mathbb{C}_k$, equipped with the natural vertex algebra structure (see e.g. [**Kac, FBZ**]). Here $\mathbb{C}_k$ is the one-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{g}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ on which $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ acts trivially and K acts as a multiplication by k. The Li filtration of $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ is essentially the same as the standard filtration of $U(\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]t^{-1})$ under the isomorphism $U(\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]t^{-1}) \cong V^k(\mathfrak{g})$, see [**A5**]. We have

$$R_{V^k(\mathfrak{g})} \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*] \tag{6}$$

and (1) gives the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*_\infty] \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr} V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \tag{7}$$

of vertex Poisson algebras. Let $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ be the Feigin-Frenkel center $Z(V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ as in Introduction. It is known [**FF4, F1, F2**] that the Li filtration of $V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})$ restricts to the Li filtration of $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. Moreover we have

$$R_{\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})} \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*]^G, \tag{8}$$

and (1) gives the isomorphism

$$(R_{\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})})_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr} \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}). \tag{9}$$

(Hence the vertex Poisson algebra structure of $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is trivial[1].) The isomorphisms (8) and (9) imply [**BD1, EF**] that

$$\operatorname{gr} \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \cong \mathbb{C}[(\mathfrak{g}^*//G)_\infty] = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*_\infty]^{G_\infty}.$$

For $\chi \in \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{{}^L\mathfrak{g}}$, let $V^{\mathrm{cri}}_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quotient of $V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})$ by the ideal generated by $z - \chi(z)$ for $z \in \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. Because $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ acts freely on $V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})$ [**FG**], it follows from (7) and (9) that

$$R_{V^{\mathrm{cri}}_\chi(\mathfrak{g})} \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{N}], \quad \operatorname{gr} V^{\mathrm{cri}}_\chi(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{N}_\infty]. \tag{10}$$

Furthermore, it was proved in [**FG**] that the vertex algebra $V^{\mathrm{cri}}_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ is *simple* (thus in particular $V^{\mathrm{cri}}_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ is simple as a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module).

Let

$$\chi_0 \in \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{{}^L\mathfrak{g}}$$

be the unique element such that $\{z - \chi_0(z); z \in \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})\}$ is the argumentation ideal $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})^*$ of $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. We set

$$V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}) = V^{\mathrm{cri}}_{\chi_0}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

and call it the *restricted affine vertex algebra* associated with $\mathfrak{g}$. As a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module, $V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic to the irreducible highest weight representation with highest weight $-h^\vee\Lambda_0$.

For $k \in \mathbb{C}$ and a $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$-module M, one can define the complex $(C(M), d)$ of the BRST cohomology of the generalized quantized Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction associated with $(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ ([**KRW**]). We have $C(M) = M \otimes \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathbb{C}^m) \otimes \bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}$, where $m = \frac{1}{2}\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}$ is the Clifford vertex superalgebra of rank $\dim \mathfrak{n}$. The complex $(C(M), d)$ can be identified with Feigin's complex which defines the semi-infinite cohomology $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}_{>0}[t, t^{-1}], M \otimes \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathbb{C}^m))$, where $\mathfrak{g}_{>0}[t, t^{-1}]$-module structure of $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathbb{C}^m)$ is described in [**A2**, §3][2]. Let

$$H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(M) := H^\bullet(C(M), d).$$

---

[1] The vertex Poisson algebra structure considered in this article is different from the one in [**F2**]

[2] In [**A2**] $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathbb{C}^m)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ne}}(\chi)$

The *W-algebra associated with* $(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ *at level* k is by definition

$$\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f) = H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(V^k(\mathfrak{g})),$$

which is naturally a vertex algebra because d is the zero mode of a odd field $d(z)$ of $C(V^k(\mathfrak{g}))$. We have [**DSK**] that, for any k,

$$R_{\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g},f)} \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{S}], \tag{11}$$

and (1) gives the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{S}_\infty] \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr} \mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f), \tag{12}$$

see [**A6**].

Let $k = \text{cri}$. For $z \in \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$, we have $dz = 0$, and the class of z belongs to the center $Z(\mathcal{W}^{\text{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f))$ of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$. Hence the embedding $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \hookrightarrow V^{\text{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})$ induces the vertex algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \to Z(\mathcal{W}^{cri}(\mathfrak{g}, f)) \subset \mathcal{W}^{\text{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$.

Proposition 2.1. *The embedding* $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \hookrightarrow V^{\text{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})$ *induces the embedding* $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}^{cri}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that it induces an injective homomorphism $\operatorname{gr} \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \to \operatorname{gr} \mathcal{W}^{\text{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$. Under the identification (6) and (11), the induced map $R_{V^{\text{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})} \to R_{\mathcal{W}^{\text{cri}}(\mathfrak{g},f)}$ is identified the restriction map $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*]^G \to \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{S}]$, and hence is injective [**Kos, P1**]. Therefore it induces the injective map $(R_{V^{\text{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})})_\infty \hookrightarrow (R_{\mathcal{W}^{\text{cri}}(\mathfrak{g},f)})_\infty$, which is identical to the map $\operatorname{gr} \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \to \operatorname{gr} \mathcal{W}^{\text{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$. □

By Proposition 2.1 we can define the quotient $\mathcal{W}^{\text{cri}}_\chi(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ of $\mathcal{W}^{\text{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ for $\chi \in \operatorname{Op}^{\text{reg}}_{{}^L\mathfrak{g}}$ as in Introduction. Let

$$\mathcal{W}_{\text{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f) = \mathcal{W}^{\text{cri}}_{\chi_0}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$$

and call it the *restricted W-algebra* associated with $(\mathfrak{g}, f)$. It is a graded quotient of $\mathcal{W}^{\text{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$.

Remark 2.2. Let $\operatorname{Zhu}(\mathcal{W}_{\text{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f))$ be the Ramond twisted Zhu algebra [**DSK**] of $\mathcal{W}_{\text{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$. Then from Proposition 2.3 below it follows that

$$\operatorname{Zhu}(\mathcal{W}_{\text{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}, f)/Z(\mathfrak{g})^* U(\mathfrak{g}, f),$$

where $Z(\mathfrak{g})^*$ is the argumentation ideal of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$.

Set $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{S} \cap \mathcal{N}$ as in Introduction. By restricting (4) and (5), we obtain the isomorphisms

$$M \times \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} (f + \mathfrak{m}^\perp) \cap \mathcal{N}, \tag{13}$$

$$M_\infty \times \mathcal{S}_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} ((f + \mathfrak{m}^\perp) \cap \mathcal{N})_\infty = (f + \mathfrak{m}^\perp)_\infty \cap \mathcal{N}_\infty. \tag{14}$$

Proposition 2.3. *We have the following.*

- (i) $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(V_{\text{res}}(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$ *for* $i \neq 0$ *and* $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(V_{\text{res}}(\mathfrak{g})) \cong \mathcal{W}_{\text{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ *as vertex algebras.*
- (ii) $\mathcal{W}^{\text{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ *is free over* $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$.
- (iii) $R_{\mathcal{W}_{\text{res}}(\mathfrak{g},f)} \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}]$ *as Poisson algebras and* $\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{W}_{\text{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_\infty]$ *as vertex Poisson algebras.*

Proof. (i) Because $V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is G-integrable, the vanishing assertion was already proved in [**A6**]. Let $\Gamma^p V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}) = (\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})^*)^p V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $\{\Gamma^p V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ defines a decreasing filtration of $V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})$ as $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules, and the freeness of $V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})$ over $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ implies that

$$\operatorname{gr}^{\Gamma} V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$$

as $V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$-modules. The vanishing of $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ implies that the spectral sequence associated with the filtration $\{\Gamma^p V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ collapses at $E_1 = E_\infty$ and that

$$\operatorname{gr}^{\Gamma} H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})) \cong H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g})) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}). \tag{15}$$

as $V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$-modules. This proves the second assertion. (ii) follows from (15). (iii) By (i), the Li filtration of $V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g})$ induces a filtration of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f) = H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}))$, which we temporary denote by $\{G^p \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)\}$. By [**A6**, Theorem 4.4.3], (10) and (14) we obtain

$$\operatorname{gr}^G \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f) \cong (\operatorname{gr} V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}) / I_\infty V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}))^{M_\infty} \cong (\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{N}_\infty] / I_\infty \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{N}_\infty])^{M_\infty} = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_\infty].$$

It remains to show that the filtration $\{G^p \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)\}$ coincides with the Li filtration of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$. But this can be seen as in the same manner as [**A6**, Theorem 4.4.6]. □

Remark 2.4. By the vanishing result of [**Gin**], the same argument proves the freeness of $U(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ over $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let* $\lambda \in \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{{}^L\mathfrak{g}}$. *Then* $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(V_\chi^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$ *for* $i \neq 0$ *and* $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(V_\chi^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})) \cong \mathcal{W}_\chi^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$. *We have* $\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{W}_\chi^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_\infty]$ *as vertex Poisson algebras.*

Proof. We have proved the assertion in the case that $\chi = \chi_0$ in Proposition 2.3. The general case follows from this by the following argument: Consider the *conformal weight filtration* of a vertex algebra V defined in [**ACM**, 3.3.2], which we denote by $\{E_p V\}$. Then $\operatorname{gr}^E V_\chi^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module isomorphic to $V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g})$ for any $\lambda \in \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{{}^L\mathfrak{g}}$. Hence Proposition 2.3 implies that

$$\operatorname{gr}^E H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(V_\chi^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f) & \text{for } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } i \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

This completes the proof. □

3. BRST cohomology of restricted Wakimoto modules

Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}D$, the Cartan subalgebra of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^* = \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}\delta \oplus \mathbb{C}\Lambda_0$ the dual of $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$, where δ and Λ_0 are the elements dual to D and K, respectively. Put $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_k^* = \{\lambda \in \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^* ; \lambda(K) = k\}$.

For $\lambda \in \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^*_{\mathrm{cri}}$, let $\mathbf{F}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}}$ be the *restricted Wakimoto module* [**FF1, F1**][3] with highest weight λ. Set

$$M_{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathbf{F}^{\mathrm{res}}_{\mathrm{cri}\,\Lambda_0}.$$

---

[3] In [**F1**] $\mathbf{F}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}}$ is denoted by $W_{\bar{\lambda}/t}$.

The module $M_{\mathfrak{g}}$ has a natural vertex algebra structure, and $M_{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathbb{C}^{\dim \mathfrak{n}})$ as vertex algebras. There is a vertex algebra homomorphism $V^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}) \to M_{\mathfrak{g}}$, which induces the vertex algebra homomorphism

$$\omega : V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}) \to M_{\mathfrak{g}}, \tag{17}$$

see [**F1**]. The map ω is injective because $V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is simple.

The fact that $V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a vertex subalgebra of $M_{\mathfrak{g}}$ implies that $d(z)$ can be considered as a field on $M_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Hence $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(M_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is also naturally a vertex algebra. Thus applying the functor $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(?)$ to (17), we obtain the vertex algebra homomorphism

$$\omega_{\mathcal{W}} : \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f) = H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g})) \to H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(M_{\mathfrak{g}}).$$

Proposition 3.1. *The vertex algebra homomorphism* $\omega_{\mathcal{W}}$ *is injective. In fact it induces an injective homomorphism* $\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{gr} H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(M_{\mathfrak{g}})$ *of vertex Poisson algebras.*

In order to prove Proposition 3.1 we first describe the homomorphism

$$\bar{\omega} : \operatorname{gr} V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \operatorname{gr} M_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

induced by ω. Recall that $\operatorname{gr} V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{N}_\infty]$ and $R_{V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g})} \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{N}]$, see (10). Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of Borel subalgebras in $\mathfrak{g}$, or the flag variety of $\mathfrak{g}$. Denote by U be the big cell, i.e., the unique open N-orbit in $\mathcal{B}$, where N is the unipotent subgroup of G corresponding to $\mathfrak{n}$. Let $T^*\mathcal{B}$ be the cotangent bundle of $\mathcal{B}$, $\pi : T^*\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ the projection,

$$\tilde{U} = \pi^{-1}(U).$$

By construction [**F1**] we have

$$R_{M_{\mathfrak{g}}} \cong \mathbb{C}[\tilde{U}], \quad \operatorname{gr} M_{\mathfrak{g}} \cong (R_{M_{\mathfrak{g}}})_\infty = \mathbb{C}[\tilde{U}_\infty], \tag{18}$$

and the homomorphism

$$\bar{\omega}_{|R_{V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g})}} : R_{V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g})} \to R_{M_{\mathfrak{g}}}$$

may be identified with the restriction $\tilde{U} \to \mathcal{N}$ of the Springer resolution

$$\mu : T^*\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{N}.$$

This in particular shows that $\bar{\omega}$ is also injective. Indeed, $\bar{\omega}_{|R_{V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g})}}$ is injective because it is the composition of the isomorphism $\mu^* : \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{N}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(T^*\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{O}_{T^*\mathcal{B}})$, with the restriction map $\Gamma(T^*\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{O}_{T^*\mathcal{B}}) \to \Gamma(\tilde{U}, \mathcal{O}_{T^*\mathcal{B}}) = \mathbb{C}[\tilde{U}]$. Hence it induces an injection $(R_{V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g})})_\infty \hookrightarrow (R_{M_{\mathfrak{g}}})_\infty$, and this is identical to $\bar{\omega}$.

Remark 3.2. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{ch}}$ be the sheaf of *chiral differential operators* [**GMS2, MSV, BD2**] on $\mathcal{B}$, which exists uniquely [**GMS1, AG**]. It is a sheaf of vertex algebras on $\mathcal{B}$, and we have

$$R_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{ch}}} \cong \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{T^*\mathcal{B}}, \quad \operatorname{gr} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{ch}} \cong (\pi_\infty)_* \mathcal{O}_{(T^*\mathcal{B})_\infty}, \tag{19}$$

where $R_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{ch}}}$ and $\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{ch}}$ are the corresponding sheaves of Zhu's Poisson algebras respectively, and $\pi_\infty : (T^*\mathcal{B})_\infty \to \mathcal{B}$ is the projection. We have

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{ch}}(U) \cong M_{\mathfrak{g}} \tag{20}$$

as vertex algebras. The homomorphism (17) lifts to a vertex algebra homomorphism

$$\omega_{\mathrm{res}} : V_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{ch}}), \tag{21}$$

which is in fact an *isomorphism* [**ACM**].

Next we describe the vertex Poisson algebra structure of $\operatorname{gr} H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(M_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{S}),$$

the *Slodowy variety*. It is known [**Gin**] that $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ is a smooth, connected symplectic submanifold of $T^*\mathcal{B}$ and the morphism $\mu_{|\tilde{\mathcal{S}}} : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \to \mathcal{S}$ is a symplectic resolution of singularities. As explained in [**Gin**], $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ can be also obtained by means of the Hamiltonian reduction: Let

$$\tilde{\mu} : T^*\mathcal{B} \to \mathfrak{m}^*$$

be the composition of $T^*\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{N} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ with the restriction map $\mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{m}^*$. Then $\tilde{\mu}$ is the moment map for the M-action and the one point M-orbit $f \in \mathfrak{m}^*$ is a regular value of $\tilde{\mu}$. Let

$$\Sigma = \tilde{\mu}^{-1}(f).$$

Then Σ is a reduced smooth connected submanifold of $T^*\mathcal{B}$, and the action map gives an isomorphism

$$M \times \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma, \tag{22}$$

and we get that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}} \cong \Sigma / M. \tag{23}$$

By (22), we obtain the jet scheme analogue

$$M_\infty \times S_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma_\infty. \tag{24}$$

Let

$$V = \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \cap \tilde{U}.$$

Because $\tilde{U}$ is M-stable, by restricting (22) and (24) we obtain the isomorphisms

$$M \times V \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma \cap \tilde{U}, \tag{25}$$

$$M_\infty \times V_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma_\infty \cap \tilde{U}_\infty = (\Sigma \cap \tilde{U})_\infty. \tag{26}$$

Note that $\Sigma \cap \tilde{U}$ is an open dense subset of Σ, and V is an open dense subset of $\tilde{S}$.

Proposition 3.3. *We have* $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(M_{\mathfrak{g}}) = 0$ *for* $i \neq 0$, $R_{H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(M_{\mathfrak{g}})} \cong \mathbb{C}[V]$ *and* $\operatorname{gr} H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(M_{\mathfrak{g}}) \cong \mathbb{C}[V_\infty]$.

Proof. By [**A2**, 3.7], the differential d decomposes as $d = d^{st} + d^{\chi}$ with $(d^{st})^2 = (d^{\chi})^2 = \{d^{st}, d^{\chi}\} = 0$. It follows that there is a spectral sequence $E_r \Rightarrow H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(M_{\mathfrak{g}})$ such that $d_0 = d^{st}$ and $d_1 = d^{\chi}$. By [**A2**, Remark 3.7.1] we have

$$H^\bullet(C(M_{\mathfrak{g}}), d^{st}) \cong H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\mathfrak{g}_{>0}, M_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes S(\mathfrak{g}_{1/2}[t^{-1}]t^{-1})), \tag{27}$$

where $L\mathfrak{g}_{>0} = \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]$ and $S(\mathfrak{g}_{1/2}[t^{-1}]t^{-1})$ is considered as a $L\mathfrak{g}_{>0}$-module through the identification $S(\mathfrak{g}_{1/2}[t^{-1}]t^{-1}) \cong U(L\mathfrak{g}_{>0})/U(L\mathfrak{g}_{>0})(L\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1} + \mathfrak{g}_{1/2}[t])$. Here, $L\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}_j[t, t^{-1}]$.

Because $M_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is free over $\mathfrak{n}[t^{-1}]t^{-1}$ and cofree over $\mathfrak{n}[t]$ by construction, it follows by [**Vor**, Theorem 2.1] that $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(L\mathfrak{g}_{>0}, M_{\mathfrak{g}}\otimes S(\mathfrak{g}_{1/2}[t^{-1}]t^{-1})) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. Hence the spectral sequence collapses at $E_1 = E_\infty$ and we get that

$$H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(M_{\mathfrak{g}}) \cong \begin{cases} H^0(C(M_{\mathfrak{g}}), d^{st}) & \text{for } i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } i \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

This proves the first assertion.

Let $\operatorname{gr} C(M_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be the associated graded complex of $C(M_{\mathfrak{g}})$ with respect to the Li filtration of $C(M_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then as in [**A6**, Theorem 4.3.3] we find that

$$H^i(\operatorname{gr} C(M_{\mathfrak{g}})) \cong \begin{cases} (\operatorname{gr} M_{\mathfrak{g}}/I_\infty \operatorname{gr} M_{\mathfrak{g}})^{M_\infty} \cong \mathbb{C}[V_\infty] & (i = 0) \\ 0 & (i \neq 0). \end{cases} . \tag{29}$$

By (29), (28) and [**A6**, Proposition 4.4.3], the spectral sequence associated with the Li filtration of $C(M_{\mathfrak{g}})$ converges to $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(M_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Hence we have $\operatorname{gr}^K H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(M_{\mathfrak{g}}) \cong \mathbb{C}[V_\infty]$, where $\operatorname{gr}^K H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(M_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the associated graded vertex algebra with respect to the filtration $\{K^p H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(M_{\mathfrak{g}})\}$ induced by the Li filtration of $C(M_{\mathfrak{g}})$. As in the proof of Proposition 2.3, we see that $\{K^p H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(M_{\mathfrak{g}})\}$ coincides wit the Li filtration of $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(M_{\mathfrak{g}})$. This proves the last assertion, which restricts to the second assertion.

□

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $\mu_{|V} : V \to S$ be the restriction of the resolution $\mu_{|\tilde{\mathcal{S}}} : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \to \mathcal{S}$. By Propositions 2.3 and 3.3,

$$\mu_{|V}^* : \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}] \to \mathbb{C}[V] \tag{30}$$

can be identified with the homomorphism $R_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g},f)} \to R_{H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(M_{\mathfrak{g}})}$ induced by the vertex algebra homomorphism $\omega_{\mathcal{W}} : \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f) \to H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(M_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Thus, by Propositions 2.3 and 3.3, it is sufficient to show that (30) is injective. But (30) is the composition of $\mu^* : \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}})$ with the restriction map $\Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}) \to \mathbb{C}[V]$. Hence it is injective as required. □

4. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

For $\lambda \in \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_k^*$, let $\mathbf{M}_\lambda$ be the Verma module with highest weight λ, $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*$ its contragredient dual.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that* $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*) = 0$ *for* $i \neq 0$*. Then* $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*)$ *is a cocyclic* $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$*-module with the cocyclic vector* v_λ^**, where* v_λ^* *is the image of the cocyclic vector of* $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*$*.*

Proof (outline). By the argument of [**A1**, §6], [**A2**, §7], [**A3**, §7], we can construct a subcomplex C' of $C(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*)$ with the following properties:

(i) $H^i(C') = 0$ for $i \neq 0$;
(ii) C' is a $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$-submodule of $C(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*)$ containing v_λ^*, and moreover, $H^0(C')$ is a cocycolic $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$-module with the cocyclic vector v_λ^*:
(iii) The character of $H^0(C')$ coincides with the character of $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*)$.

Because $H^0(C')$ is cocyclic the above property (iii) forces that the map $H^0(C') \to H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*)$ is an injection. But $H^0(C')$ and $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*)$ have the same character. Therefore it must be an isomorphism. □

Let Δ_+ be the set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, W the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\rho = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha/2$, $\rho^\vee = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha^\vee/2$. Denote by $\widehat{\Delta}_+^{re}$ the set of positive real roots of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. The set Δ_+ is naturally considered as a subset of $\widehat{\Delta}_+^{re}$. Let $\widetilde{W} = W \ltimes P^\vee$ the extended affine Weyl group of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, where $P^\vee \subset \mathfrak{h}$ is the set of coweights of $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote by t_μ the element of $\widetilde{W}$ corresponding $\mu \in P^\vee$. Set

$$\widehat{P}_k^+ = \{\lambda \in \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_k^*; \lambda(\alpha^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_+\}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that* $k + h^\vee \notin \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. *Then, for* $\lambda \in \widehat{P}_k^+$, $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*$ *is free over* $\mathfrak{n}[t^{-1}]t^{-1}$.

Proof. By the assumption

$$\langle \lambda + \widehat{\rho}, \alpha^\vee \rangle \notin \mathbb{N} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \widehat{\Delta}_+^{re} \text{ such that } \bar{\alpha} \in -\Delta_+, \tag{31}$$

where $\widehat{\rho} = \rho + h^\vee \Lambda_0$, Hence $\langle \lambda + \widehat{\rho}, \alpha^\vee \rangle \notin \mathbb{N}$ for all $\alpha \in \widehat{\Delta}_+^{re} \cap t_{-n\rho^\vee}(-\widehat{\Delta}_+^{re})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By [**A1**, Theorem 3.1], this implies that $\mathbf{M}_\lambda$ is cofree over the subalgebra $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \widehat{\Delta}_+^{re} \cap t_{-n\rho^\vee}(-\widehat{\Delta}_+^{re})} \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{n}_-[t]t$, or equivalently, $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*$ is free over $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in (-\widehat{\Delta}_+^{re}) \cap t_{n\rho^\vee}(\widehat{\Delta}_+^{re})} \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{n}[t^{-1}]t^{-1}$. Here $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha$ is the root space of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of root α. Now we have $\mathfrak{n}[t^{-1}]t^{-1} = \varinjlim_n \bigoplus_{\alpha \in -\widehat{\Delta}_+^{re} \cap t_{n\rho^\vee}(\widehat{\Delta}_+^{re})} \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha$. Therefore $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*$ is free over $\mathfrak{n}[t^{-1}]t^{-1}$ as required. □

Remark 4.3. Proposition 4.2 implies that $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*$ with $\lambda \in \widehat{P}_k^+$, $k + h^\vee \notin \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, is isomorphic to the Wakimoto module $\mathbf{F}_\lambda$ with highest weight λ, see the proof of Proposition 4.6.

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose that* $k + h^\vee \notin \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ *and let* $\lambda \in \widehat{P}_k^+$. *Then we have* $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*) = 0$ *for* $i \neq 0$ *and* $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*)$ *is cocyclic* $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$*-module with the cocyclic vector* v_λ^*.

Proof. $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*$ is cofree over $\mathfrak{n}[t]$ by definition, and is free over $\mathfrak{n}[t^{-1}]t^{-1}$ by Proposition 4.2. Hence one can apply the proof of Proposition 3.3 to obtain the vanishing assertion. The rest follows from Proposition 4.1. □

Now let $k = \text{cri}$. For $\lambda \in \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\text{cri}}^*$, let $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^{\text{res}}$ be the *restricted Verma module* [**FF2, AF**] with highest weight λ, $(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^{\text{res}})^*$ its contragredient dual. The module $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^{\text{res}}$ is defined as follows: Let $p^{(1)}, \dots, p^{(l)}$ be a set of homogeneous generators of $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ as a differential algebra (which is the same as a commutative vertex algebra), so that $R_{\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})} = \mathbb{C}[\bar{p}^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{p}^{(l)}]$, where $\bar{p}^{(i)}$ is the image of $p^{(i)}$ in $R_{\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})} = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*]^G$. Let $Y(p_i, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} p_n^{(i)} z^{-n-\Delta_i}$ be the field corresponding to $p^{(i)}$, where Δ_i is the degree of the polynomial $\bar{p}^{(i)}$. Then

$$Z_\pm = \mathbb{C}[p_n^{(i)}; i = 1, \dots, l, \pm n > 0]$$

can be regarded as a polynomial ring, which acts on any $V^{\text{cri}}(\mathfrak{g})$-module. According to Feigin and Frenkel [**FF2, F2**], Z_- acts on $\mathbf{M}_\lambda$ freely. By definition,

$$\mathbf{M}_\lambda^{\text{res}} = \mathbf{M}_\lambda / Z_-^* \mathbf{M}_\lambda,$$

where Z_-^* is the argumentation ideal of Z_-. Dually,

$$(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}})^* = \{m \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^*; Z_+^* m = 0\},$$

where Z_+^* is the argumentation ideal of Z_+.

Proposition 4.5. *Let* $\lambda \in \widehat{P}_{\mathrm{cri}}^+$.

- (i) *The embedding* $(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}})^* \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M}_\lambda^*$ *induces an embedding* $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}((\mathbf{M}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}})^*) \hookrightarrow H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*)$.
- (ii) *The* $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$*-module* $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}((\mathbf{M}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}})^*)$ *is a cocyclic with the cocyclic vector* v_λ^*.

Proof. (1) Let $\Gamma^0 \mathbf{M}_\lambda^* = 0$, $\Gamma^p \mathbf{M}_\lambda^* = \{m \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^*; (Z_+^*)^p m = 0\}$ for $p \geq 1$. Then $\{\Gamma^p \mathbf{M}_\lambda^*\}$ defines an increasing filtration of $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*$ as a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module, and the freeness of $\mathbf{M}_\lambda$ over Z_- implies that

$$\mathrm{gr}^\Gamma \mathbf{M}_\lambda^* \cong (\mathbf{M}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}})^* \otimes D(Z_+),$$

as $V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes Z_+$-modules, where $D(Z_+)$ is the restricted dual of Z_+.

Now $(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}})^*$ is free over $\mathfrak{n}[t^{-1}]t^{-1}$ and cofree over $\mathfrak{n}[t]$ by Proposition 4.6. Thus we see as in the proof of Proposition 3.3 that $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}((\mathbf{M}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}})^*) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. This shows that the spectral sequence corresponding to the filtration $\Gamma^p \mathbf{M}_\lambda^*$ collapses at $E_1 = E_\infty$ and we get that

$$\mathrm{gr}^\Gamma H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*) \cong H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}((\mathbf{M}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}})^*) \otimes D(Z_+).$$

In particular,

$$H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}((\mathbf{M}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}})^*) \cong \Gamma^1 H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*) = \{c \in H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^*); Z_+^* c = 0\}.$$

This proves (1). (2) follows from (1) and Proposition 4.4. ☐

Proposition 4.6. *For* $\lambda \in \widehat{P}_{\mathrm{cri}}^+$, *the restricted Wakimoto module* $\mathbf{F}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}}$ *is isomorphic to* $(\mathbf{M}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}})^*$.

Proof. By [**ACM**, 6.2.2], $\mathbf{F}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}}$ is cocyclic with the cocyclic vector $|\lambda\rangle$, where $|\lambda\rangle$ is the highest weight vector. Hence its contragredient dual $(\mathbf{F}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}})^*$ is cyclic, and the natural $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module homomorphism $\mathbf{M}_\lambda \to (\mathbf{F}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}})^*$ is surjective. Because Z_- acts trivially on $(\mathbf{F}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}})^*$, this factors though the surjective homomorphism $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}} \to (\mathbf{F}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}})^*$. Since $(\mathbf{F}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}})^*$ and $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^{\mathrm{res}}$ are the same character, it must be an isomorphism. By duality, this proves the assertion. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We have already shown the assertion on the associated graded vertex Poisson algebras in Proposition 2.5. It remains to prove the simplicity.

First, let $\chi = \chi_0$. By Propositions 3.1 and 4.6, $\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is a submodule of $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}((\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{cri}\,\Lambda_0}^{\mathrm{res}})^*)$. On the other hand, $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}((\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{cri}\,\Lambda_0}^{\mathrm{res}})^*)$ is cocyclic by Proposition 4.5, and the image of the vacuum vector $\mathbf{1}$ of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ equals to the cocyclic vector of $H_f^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}((\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{cri}\,\Lambda_0}^{\mathrm{res}})^*)$ up to nonzero constant multiplication. Hence $\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is also cocyclic, with the cocyclic vector $\mathbf{1}$. Therefore $\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ must be simple.

Next, let χ be arbitrary. Let $\{E_p \mathcal{W}_\chi^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)\}$ be the conformal filtration of $\mathcal{W}_\chi^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ as in the proof of Proposition 2.5. Then (16) shows that $\mathrm{gr}^E \mathcal{W}_\chi^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f) \cong$

$\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ as $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cri}}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$-modules, which is simple. Therefore $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cri}}_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is also simple. This completes the proof. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The first assertion follows immediately from Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 1.2. The freeness assertion has been proved in Proposition 2.3. ☐

References

[ACM] T. Arakawa, D. Chebotarov, and F. Malikov. Algebras of twisted chira differentail operators and affine lolalization of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. *Sel. Math. New Ser.*, Vol. 17, No. 1, pp. 1–46, 2011.

[AF] Tomoyuki Arakawa and Peter Fiebig. On the restricted Verma modules at the critical level. *to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2008. arXiv:0812.3334v1[math.RT].

[AG] S. Arkhipov and D. Gaitsgory. Differential operators on the loop group via chiral algebras. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, No. 4, pp. 165–210, 2002.

[A1] T. Arakawa. Vanishing of cohomology associated to quantized Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, No. 15, pp. 730–767, 2004.

[A2] T. Arakawa. Representation theory of superconformal algebras and the Kac-Roan-Wakimoto conjecture. *Duke Math. J.*, Vol. 130, No. 3, pp. 435–478, 2005.

[A3] T. Arakawa. Representation theory of W-algebras. *Invent. Math.*, Vol. 169, No. 2, pp. 219–320, 2007.

[A4] T Arakawa. Representation theory of W-algebras, II. *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, Vol. 61, pp. 51–90, 2011.

[A5] T. Arakawa. A remark on the C_2-cofiniteness condition on vertex algebras. *Math. Z. (online first)*, 2010. arXiv:1004.1492[math.QA].

[A6] T. Arakawa. Associated varieties of modules over Kac-Moody algebras and C_2-cofiniteness of W-algebras. *preprint.* arXiv:1004.1554[math.QA].

[BD1] Alexander Beilinson and Vladimir Drinfeld. Quantization of hitchin's integrable system and hecke eigensheaves. *preprint, available at http://www.math.uchicago.edu/ benzvi.*

[BD2] Alexander Beilinson and Vladimir Drinfeld. *Chiral algebras*, Vol. 51 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[DSK] Alberto De Sole and Victor G. Kac. Finite vs affine W-algebras. *Japan. J. Math.*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 137–261, 2006.

[EF] David Eisenbud and Edward Frenkel. Appendix to [**Mus**]. 2001.

[FBZ] Edward Frenkel and David Ben-Zvi. *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*, Vol. 88 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2004.

[FF1] Boris L. Feĭgin and Edward V. Frenkel. Affine Kac-Moody algebras and semi-infinite flag manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, Vol. 128, No. 1, pp. 161–189, 1990.

[FF2] Boris L. Feigin and Edward V. Frenkel. Representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras and bosonization. In *Physics and mathematics of strings*, pp. 271–316. World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1990.

[FF3] Boris Feigin and Edward Frenkel. Quantization of the Drinfel′d-Sokolov reduction. *Phys. Lett. B*, Vol. 246, No. 1-2, pp. 75–81, 1990.

[FF4] Boris Feigin and Edward Frenkel. Affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level and Gel′fand-Dikiĭ algebras. In *Infinite analysis, Part A, B (Kyoto, 1991)*, Vol. 16 of *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, pp. 197–215. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1992.

[FG] Edward Frenkel and Dennis Gaitsgory. D-modules on the affine Grassmannian and representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras. *Duke Math. J.*, Vol. 125, No. 2, pp. 279–327, 2004.

[F1] Edward Frenkel. Wakimoto modules, opers and the center at the critical level. *Adv. Math.*, Vol. 195, No. 2, pp. 297–404, 2005.

[F2] Edward Frenkel. *Langlands correspondence for loop groups*, Vol. 103 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[GG] Wee Liang Gan and Victor Ginzburg. Quantization of Slodowy slices. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, No. 5, pp. 243–255, 2002.

[Gin] Victor Ginzburg. Harish-Chandra bimodules for quantized Slodowy slices. *Represent. Theory*, Vol. 13, pp. 236–271, 2009.

[GMS1] Vassily Gorbounov, Fyodor Malikov, and Vadim Schechtman. On chiral differential operators over homogeneous spaces. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, Vol. 26, No. 2, pp. 83–106, 2001.

[GMS2] Vassily Gorbounov, Fyodor Malikov, and Vadim Schechtman. Gerbes of chiral differential operators. II. Vertex algebroids. *Invent. Math.*, Vol. 155, No. 3, pp. 605–680, 2004.

[Kac] Victor Kac. *Vertex algebras for beginners*, Vol. 10 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 1998.

[Kos] Bertram Kostant. On Whittaker vectors and representation theory. *Invent. Math.*, Vol. 48, No. 2, pp. 101–184, 1978.

[KRW] Victor Kac, Shi-Shyr Roan, and Minoru Wakimoto. Quantum reduction for affine superalgebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, Vol. 241, No. 2-3, pp. 307–342, 2003.

[KW] Victor G. Kac and Minoru Wakimoto. Quantum reduction and representation theory of superconformal algebras. *Adv. Math.*, Vol. 185, No. 2, pp. 400–458, 2004.

[Li] Haisheng Li. Abelianizing vertex algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, Vol. 259, No. 2, pp. 391–411, 2005.

[Lus] George Lusztig. Hecke algebras and Jantzen's generic decomposition patterns. *Adv. in Math.*, Vol. 37, No. 2, pp. 121–164, 1980.

[MSV] Fyodor Malikov, Vadim Schechtman, and Arkady Vaintrob. Chiral de Rham complex. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, Vol. 204, No. 2, pp. 439–473, 1999.

[Mus] Mircea Mustaţă. Jet schemes of locally complete intersection canonical singularities. *Invent. Math.*, Vol. 145, No. 3, pp. 397–424, 2001. With an appendix by David Eisenbud and Edward Frenkel.

[P1] Alexander Premet. Special transverse slices and their enveloping algebras. *Adv. Math.*, Vol. 170, No. 1, pp. 1–55, 2002. With an appendix by Serge Skryabin.

[P2] Alexander Premet. Enveloping algebras of Slodowy slices and the Joseph ideal. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 9, No. 3, pp. 487–543, 2007.

[Vor] Alexander A. Voronov. Semi-infinite homological algebra. *Invent. Math.*, Vol. 113, No. 1, pp. 103–146, 1993.

[Zhu] Yongchang Zhu. Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 237–302, 1996.

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502 JAPAN

E-mail address: `arakawa@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp`